

On a conjecture of A. Bikchentaev

F. A. Sukochev

ABSTRACT. In [1], A. M. Bikchentaev conjectured that for positive τ -measurable operators a and b affiliated with an arbitrary semifinite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, the operator $b^{1/2}ab^{1/2}$ is submajorized by the operator ab in the sense of Hardy-Littlewood. We prove this conjecture in full generality and present a number of applications to fully symmetric operator ideals, Golden-Thompson inequality and (singular) traces.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper we answer a question due to A. M. Bikchentaev (see [1, p. 573, Conjecture A]) in the affirmative (see also [2, 3]). To formulate his conjecture, we need some notions from the theory of noncommutative integration. For details on von Neumann algebra theory, the reader is referred to e.g. [7], [17, 18] or [32]. General facts concerning measurable operators may be found in [21], [27] (see also [33, Chapter IX] and the forthcoming book [10]). For the convenience of the reader, some of the basic definitions are recalled.

In what follows, H is a Hilbert space and $B(H)$ is the $*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on H , and $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity operator on H . Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra on H .

A linear operator $x : \mathfrak{D}(x) \rightarrow H$, where the domain $\mathfrak{D}(x)$ of x is a linear subspace of H , is said to be *affiliated* with $\mathcal{M}$ if $yx \subseteq xy$ for all $y \in \mathcal{M}'$. A linear operator $x : \mathfrak{D}(x) \rightarrow H$ is termed *measurable* with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ if x is closed, densely defined, affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ and there exists a sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $P(\mathcal{M})$ such that $p_n \uparrow \mathbf{1}$, $p_n(H) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}(x)$ and $\mathbf{1} - p_n$ is a finite projection (with respect to $\mathcal{M}$) for all n . It should be noted that the condition $p_n(H) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}(x)$ implies that $x p_n \in \mathcal{M}$. The collection of all measurable operators with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ is denoted by $S(\mathcal{M})$, which is a unital $*$ -algebra with respect to strong sums and products (denoted simply by $x + y$ and xy for all $x, y \in S(\mathcal{M})$).

Let a be a self-adjoint operator affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$. We denote its spectral measure by $\{e^a\}$. It is known that if x is a closed operator affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ with the polar decomposition $x = u|x|$, then $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and $e \in \mathcal{M}$ for all projections

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B10, 46A22.

Key words and phrases. Hardy-Littlewood submajorization, Golden-Thompson inequalities. The author acknowledges support from the Australian Research Council.

$e \in \{e^{|x|}\}$. Moreover, $x \in S(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if x is closed, densely defined, affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ and $e^{|x|}(\lambda, \infty)$ is a finite projection for some $\lambda > 0$. It follows immediately that in the case when $\mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra of type *III* or a type *I* factor, we have $S(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$. For type *II* von Neumann algebras, this is no longer true. From now on, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace τ (the reader unfamiliar with von Neumann algebra theory can assume that $\mathcal{M} = B(H)$ and τ is the standard trace on $B(H)$: the A. Bikhentayev's question retains its interest also in this special case).

An operator $x \in S(\mathcal{M})$ is called τ -measurable if there exists a sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $P(\mathcal{M})$ such that $p_n \uparrow 1$, $p_n(H) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}(x)$ and $\tau(1 - p_n) < \infty$ for all n . The collection $S(\tau)$ of all τ -measurable operators is a unital $*$ -subalgebra of $S(\mathcal{M})$ denoted by $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. It is well known that a linear operator x belongs to $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if and only if $x \in S(\mathcal{M})$ and there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\tau(e^{|x|}(\lambda, \infty)) < \infty$. Alternatively, an unbounded operator x affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ is τ -measurable (see [11]) if and only if

$$\tau\left(e^{|x|}\left(\frac{1}{n}, \infty\right)\right) = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let L_0 be a space of Lebesgue measurable functions either on $(0, 1)$ or on $(0, \infty)$, or on $\mathbb{N}$ finite almost everywhere (with identification m -a.e.). Here m is Lebesgue measure or else counting measure on $\mathbb{N}$. Define S as the subset of L_0 which consists of all functions x such that $m(\{|x| > s\})$ is finite for some s .

The notation $\mu(x)$ stands for the non-increasing right-continuous rearrangement of $x \in S$ given by

$$\mu(t; x) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : m(\{|x| \geq s\}) \leq t\}.$$

In the case when x is a sequence we denote by $\mu(x)$ the usual decreasing rearrangement of the sequence $|x|$.

Let a semifinite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ be equipped with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ . Let $x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. The generalized singular value function $\mu(x) : t \rightarrow \mu(t; x)$ of the operator x is defined by setting

$$\mu(s; x) = \inf\{\|xp\| : p = p^* \in \mathcal{M} \text{ is a projection, } \tau(1 - p) \leq s\}.$$

There exists an equivalent definition which involves the distribution function of the operator x . For every self-adjoint operator $x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, setting

$$d_x(t) = \tau(e^x(t, \infty)), \quad t > 0,$$

we have (see e.g. [11])

$$\mu(t; x) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : d_{|x|}(s) \leq t\}.$$

Consider the algebra $\mathcal{M} = L^\infty(0, \infty)$ of all Lebesgue measurable essentially bounded functions on $(0, \infty)$. Algebra $\mathcal{M}$ can be seen as an abelian von Neumann algebra acting via multiplication on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0, \infty)$, with the trace given by integration with respect to Lebesgue measure m . It is easy to see that the set of all measurable (respectively, τ -measurable) operators affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ can be identified with S (respectively, with L_0). It should also be pointed out that the generalized singular value function $\mu(f)$ is precisely the decreasing rearrangement $\mu(f)$ of the function f defined above.

If $\mathcal{M} = B(H)$ (respectively, l_∞) and τ is the standard trace Tr (respectively, the counting measure on $\mathbb{N}$), then it is not difficult to see that $S(\mathcal{M}) = S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \mathcal{M}$.

In this case, for $x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ we have

$$\mu(n; x) = \mu(t; x), \quad t \in [n, n+1), \quad n \geq 0.$$

The sequence $\{\mu(n; x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is just the sequence of singular values of the operator x .

Let $a, b \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. We say that b is submajorized by a in the sense of Hardy-Littlewood-Polya if and only if

$$\int_0^t \mu(s; b) ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s; a) ds, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

In this case, we write $b \prec\prec a$. Observe that $b \prec\prec a$ if and only if $\mu(b) \prec\prec \mu(a)$. Sometimes, we also write $a \prec\prec f$ instead of $\mu(a) \prec\prec \mu(f)$.

In the special case when a and b are *positive* self-adjoint operators from $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ the following question was asked in [1].

QUESTION 1. *Let a and $b \geq 0$ be self-adjoint τ -measurable operators affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$. Is it necessarily true that*

$$b^{1/2}ab^{1/2} \prec\prec ab?$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a matrix algebra, then the positive answer to Question 1 may be inferred from [19]. The main objective of the present article is to provide a different (stronger and more general) approach to Question 1. Our method allows us to produce a number of applications.

Observe that the inequality $\mu(b^{1/2}ab^{1/2}) \leq \mu(ab)$ fails even for the case $\mathcal{M} = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ (see Remark 2, p. 575 of [2]).

The author thanks A. Bikchentaev for drawing his attention to this problem and additional references and D. Zanin and B. de Pagter for a number of insightful comments which improved the article. Some results of this article have been announced in [30].

2. The main result

The gist of our approach to Question 1 is contained in Lemmas 4 and 8 below. In the proofs we use two properties of singular value functions (see (1) and (2) below (see also [11, Lemma 4.1], [6] and [9, Proposition 3.10]). For simplicity of exposition, we shall assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is an atomless von Neumann algebra. Indeed, this is done by a standard trick consisting in considering a von Neumann tensor product $\mathcal{M} \otimes L_\infty(0, 1)$ (see details in e.g. [1, pp. 574-575]).

Let L_1 and L_∞ be Lebesgue spaces on $(0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$.

Let $a \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. We say that $a \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if and only if $\mu(a) \in L_1(0, \infty)$. It is well-known that

$$\|a\|_1 := \|\mu(a)\|_{L_1}$$

is a Banach norm on $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Similarly, we say that $a \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if and only if $\mu(a) \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(0, \infty)$. Here, we identify $\mathcal{M}$ with $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. The space $(L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ can be also viewed as a sum of Banach spaces $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (the latter space is equipped with the uniform norm, which we denote simply by $\|\cdot\|$).

For all $a, c \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})$, we have (see [11, 6])

$$(1) \quad \mu(ac) \prec\prec \mu(a)\mu(c).$$

LEMMA 2. *If $a \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then*

$$(2) \quad \int_0^t \mu(s; a) ds = \sup\{|\tau(ac)| : |\tau(s(c))| \leq t, \|c\| \leq 1\},$$

where $s(c)$ denotes the support projection of the operator c .

PROOF. Recall that if $x \in S((\mathcal{M}, \tau))$, then

$$\tau(s(x)) = \inf\{s \geq 0 : \mu(s; x) = 0\}.$$

Therefore, if $c \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies $\|c\| \leq 1$ and $|\tau(s(c))| \leq t$, then $s(ac) \leq s(c)$ and so

$$|\tau(ac)| \leq \tau(|ac|) = \int_0^\infty \mu(s; ac) ds = \int_0^t \mu(s; ac) ds.$$

For the converse inequality, first recall the following fact (see [11, 10]): if $x \in S((\mathcal{M}, \tau))$, then (under the assumption that there are no atoms in $\mathcal{M}$) we have

$$\int_0^t \mu(s; x) ds = \sup\{\tau(p|x|p) : p = p^* = p^2 \in \mathcal{M}, \tau(p) \leq t\}.$$

If $a \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and $\tau(p) \leq t$ then pap , $ap \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and so, $\tau(p|a|p) = \tau(|a|p)$. Hence,

$$\int_0^t \mu(s; a) ds = \sup\{\tau(|a|p) : p = p^* = p^2 \in \mathcal{M}, \tau(p) \leq t\}$$

for all $a \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

If $p = p^* = p^2 \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\tau(p) \leq t$, then $|a|p = v^*ap$ (where $a = v|a|$ is the polar decomposition of a) and so, $\tau(|a|p) = \tau(v^*ap) = \tau(apv^*)$. Setting $c = pv^*$, it follows that $\mu(c) \leq \mu(p)$ and so, $\mu(s; c) = 0$ for all $s \geq \tau(p)$, that is, $\tau(s(c)) \leq \tau(p) \leq t$. The result of the lemma follows. $\square$

The following remark is well-known (and trivial) and stated here for convenience of the reader.

REMARK 3. *Let $b \in \mathcal{M}$. The mapping $z \rightarrow e^{zb}$ takes its values in $\mathcal{M}$ and is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$.*

LEMMA 4. *For any self-adjoint operators $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ and every $\theta \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$e^{\theta b} a e^{(1-\theta)b} \prec\prec a e^b.$$

PROOF. Appealing to Remark 3, we see that the mapping $z \rightarrow e^{zb} a e^{(1-z)b}$ takes values in $\mathcal{M}$ and is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$.

Fix an operator $c \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\|c\| \leq 1$ and $\tau(s(c)) \leq t$. The $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function $F : z \rightarrow \tau(e^{bz} a e^{b(1-z)} c)$ is also holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$. For every $0 \leq \Re z \leq 1$, it follows from (1) that

$$|F(z)| \leq t \|e^{zb} a e^{(1-z)b}\| \leq t \|e^{zb}\| \cdot \|a\| \cdot \|e^{(1-z)b}\| \leq t \|a\| e^{2\|b\|}.$$

Hence, F is a bounded function in the strip $0 \leq \Re z \leq 1$. Since F is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$, it follows that F is continuous on the boundary of the strip $0 \leq \Re z \leq 1$. By Hadamard three-lines theorem (see e.g. [25, p. 33-34]), we have

$$|F(z)| \leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \max\{|F(iy)|, |F(1+iy)|\}.$$

To estimate $|F(iy)|$, we argue as follows:

$$|F(iy)| = |\tau(e^{iyb}ae^be^{-iyb}c)| \leq \tau(|ae^be^{-iyb}ce^{iyb}|) = \tau(|ae^bd|),$$

where $d = e^{-iyb}ce^{iyb}$. Since $\mu(ae^bd) \leq \|ae^b\|\mu(d) = \|ae^b\|\mu(c)$ it is clear that $\mu(ae^bd; s) = 0$ for $s \geq t$. Hence,

$$|\tau(ae^bd)| = \int_0^\infty \mu(ae^bd; s)ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(ae^bd; s)ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(ae^b; s)ds.$$

Similarly,

$$|F(1+iy)| = |\tau(e^ba)| \leq \int_0^t \mu(s; e^ba)ds$$

and, appealing to the assumption $a = a^*$, we may conclude that

$$|F(z)| = |\tau(e^{bz}ae^{b(1-z)}c)| \leq \int_0^t \mu(s; ae^b)ds$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \leq \Re z \leq 1$. Since this holds for all operators $c \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $|\tau(s(c))| \leq t$ and $\|c\| \leq 1$, we obtain from Lemma 2 that the estimate

$$\int_0^t \mu(s; e^{zb}ae^{b(1-z)b})ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s; ae^b)ds$$

holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \leq \Re z \leq 1$. Setting $z = \theta \in (0, 1)$, we conclude the proof. $\square$

Observe that the assumption that $a \in \mathcal{M}$ is a self-adjoint operator was crucially used in the proof above, where we concluded that $\mu(e^ba) = \mu(ae^b)$. In fact, the assertion of the above lemma does not hold for a non-self-adjoint operator a .

EXAMPLE 5. Let $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the von Neumann algebra of all 2×2 matrices. There exist $a, b \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such $b = b^*$ and such that the inequality

$$e^{b/2}ae^{b/2} \prec\prec ae^b$$

fails.

PROOF. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. We set

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

A direct computation yields $ae^b = e^\mu a$ and $e^{b/2}ae^{b/2} = e^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}a$. Setting $\lambda > \mu$, we obtain the assertion. $\square$

However, a quick analysis of the proof of Lemma 4 yields a following strengthening.

LEMMA 6. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$. If $b = b^*$, then

$$e^{\theta b}ae^{(1-\theta)b} \prec\prec \max\{\mu(ae^b), \mu(e^ba)\}$$

for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

For every $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, we define the set

$$V(\varepsilon, \delta) = \{x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \exists p = p^2 = p^* \in \mathcal{M} \text{ such that } \|x(1-p)\| \leq \varepsilon, \tau(p) \leq \delta\}.$$

The topology generated by the sets $V(\varepsilon, \delta)$, $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, is called a measure topology.

The following assertion is well-known. We incorporate the proof for convenience of the reader.

LEMMA 7. *Let $x_n, x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ in measure topology. It follows that $\mu(x_n) \rightarrow \mu(x)$ almost everywhere.*

PROOF. Let t be the continuity point of $\mu(x)$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and select $\delta > 0$ such that $|\mu(t; x) - \mu(t \pm \delta; x)| \leq \varepsilon$. Since $x_n - x \rightarrow 0$ in measure, it follows that $x_n - x \in V(\varepsilon, \delta)$ for every $n \geq N$. Thus, $\mu(\delta; x_n - x) \leq \varepsilon$.

We have

$$\mu(t; x) \leq \mu(t + \delta; x) + \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon + \mu(t; x_n) + \mu(\delta; x_n - x) \leq 2\varepsilon + \mu(t; x_n)$$

and

$$\mu(t; x_n) \leq \mu(t - \delta; x) + \mu(\delta; x - x_n) \leq 2\varepsilon + \mu(t; x).$$

Thus, $\mu(t; x_n) \rightarrow \mu(t; x)$. The assertion follows from the fact that $\mu(x)$ is almost everywhere continuous. $\square$

LEMMA 8. *Let $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$*

(i) *If a is self adjoint and $b \geq 0$, then*

$$b^\theta ab^{1-\theta} \prec\prec ab$$

for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

(ii) *If a is an arbitrary operator and $b \geq 0$, then we have*

$$b^\theta ab^{1-\theta} \prec\prec \max\{\mu(ab), \mu(ba)\}$$

for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

PROOF. We shall prove only the first assertion (the proof of the second is the same via Lemma 6).

Suppose first that b is a positive invertible operator from $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\log(b)$ is a self-adjoint operator from $\mathcal{M}$ and applying Lemma 4 to the bounded operators a and $\log(b)$, we obtain the assertion.

In general case, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the operator $b_n := b + \frac{1}{n}$ which is obviously invertible. It follows from the first part of the proof that

$$\int_0^t \mu(s; b_n^\theta ab_n^{1-\theta}) ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s; ab_n) ds.$$

By Lemma 7

$$\mu(b_n^\theta ab_n^{1-\theta}) \rightarrow \mu(b^\theta ab^{1-\theta}), \quad \mu(ab_n) \rightarrow \mu(ab)$$

almost everywhere. Since the functions $\mu(b^\theta ab^{1-\theta})$ and $\mu(ab)$ are uniformly bounded, we easily infer from here that for every $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\int_0^t \mu(s; b^\theta ab^{1-\theta}) ds \leq \int_0^t \mu(s; ab) ds.$$

$\square$

The resolution of Question 1 is contained in the first part of the theorem below. Observe that only the case $ab, ba \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ should be treated. Indeed, if the latter assumption does not hold then the answer to Question 1 is trivially affirmative.

THEOREM 9. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and let $a, b \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be such operators that $b \geq 0$ and $ab \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.*

(i) If a is self-adjoint, then

$$b^\theta ab^{1-\theta} \prec\prec ab$$

for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

(ii) If a is an arbitrary operator, then we have

$$b^\theta ab^{1-\theta} \prec\prec \max\{\mu(ab), \mu(ba)\}$$

for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

PROOF. We prove the second assertion. Let $p_n = ae^{|a|}[0, n)$ and let $q_n = e^b[0, n)$. The operators ap_n and $q_nbq_n = bq_n$ are bounded and evidently, $ap_n \rightarrow a$ and $bq_n \rightarrow b$ in measure as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $(bq_n)^\theta \rightarrow b^\theta$ in measure (see e.g. [34]) and, therefore,

$$(bq_n)^\theta (ap_n)(bq_n)^{1-\theta} \rightarrow b^\theta ab^{1-\theta}$$

in measure. By Lemma 7, we have

$$(3) \quad \mu((bq_n)^\theta (ap_n)(bq_n)^{1-\theta}) \rightarrow \mu(b^\theta ab^{1-\theta})$$

almost everywhere. It follows now from Fatou lemma that

$$\int_0^t \mu(s; b^\theta ab^{1-\theta}) ds \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \mu(s; (bq_n)^\theta (ap_n)(bq_n)^{1-\theta}) ds.$$

By Lemma 8, we have

$$\int_0^t \mu(s; b^\theta ab^{1-\theta}) ds \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \max\{\mu(s; (bq_n)(ap_n)), \mu(s; (ap_n)(bq_n))\} ds.$$

Since $|ad| = ||a|d|$ for all operators $a, d \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, it follows that

$$\mu((ap_n)(bq_n)) = \mu(|a|p_n(bq_n)) = \mu(p_n(|a|b)q_n) \leq \mu(|a|b) = \mu(ab).$$

Also, we have

$$\mu((bq_n)(ap_n)) = \mu(q_n(ba)p_n) \leq \mu(ba).$$

The assertion follows immediately. $\square$

The result of Theorem 9 above extends and complements [1, Theorems 1 and 2], [2, Theorem 3 and Corollary 4], [9, Proposition 3.4]. More details are given in the next section.

We end this section with one more extension of Lemma 4.

PROPOSITION 10. For any self-adjoint operators $a, b \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and every $\theta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$e^{\theta b} e^a e^{(1-\theta)b} \prec\prec e^a e^b.$$

PROOF. By [23, Lemma 3.1], we have $e^a, e^b \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. It is sufficient to prove the assertion only for the case $e^a e^b \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Introducing projections $p_n := e^{|a|}[0, n)$, $q_n := e^{|b|}[0, n)$, and operators $a_n := ap_n$, $b_n := bq_n$ we obtain from Lemma 4 that

$$e^{\theta b_n} e^{a_n} e^{(1-\theta)b_n} \prec\prec e^{a_n} e^{b_n}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 9 completes the proof. $\square$

3. Applications to ideals in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$

The best known examples of normed $\mathcal{M}$ -bimodules of $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are given by the so-called symmetric operator spaces (see e.g. [8, 29, 16, 10]). We briefly recall relevant definitions (for more detailed information we refer to [16] and references therein).

Let E be a Banach space of real-valued Lebesgue measurable functions either on $(0, 1)$ or $(0, \infty)$ (with identification m -a.e.) or on $\mathbb{N}$. The space E is said to be *absolutely solid* if $x \in E$ and $|y| \leq |x|$, $y \in L_0$ implies that $y \in E$ and $\|y\|_E \leq \|x\|_E$.

The absolutely solid space $E \subseteq S$ is said to be *symmetric* if for every $x \in E$ and every y the assumption $\mu(y) = \mu(x)$ implies that $y \in E$ and $\|y\|_E = \|x\|_E$ (see e.g. [20]).

If $E = E(0, 1)$ is a symmetric space on $(0, 1)$, then

$$L_\infty \subseteq E \subseteq L_1.$$

If $E = E(0, \infty)$ is a symmetric space on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$L_1 \cap L_\infty \subseteq E \subseteq L_1 + L_\infty.$$

If $E = E(\mathbb{N})$ is a symmetric space on $\mathbb{N}$, then

$$l_1 \subseteq E \subseteq l_\infty,$$

where l_1 and l_∞ are classical spaces of all absolutely summable and bounded sequences respectively.

DEFINITION 11. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a linear subset in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}$. We say that $\mathcal{E}$ is a symmetric operator space (on $\mathcal{M}$, or in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$) if $x \in \mathcal{E}$ and every $y \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ the assumption $\mu(y) \leq \mu(x)$ implies that $y \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\|y\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{E}}$.*

The fact that every symmetric operator space $\mathcal{E}$ is (an absolutely solid) $\mathcal{M}$ -bimodule of $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is well known (see e.g. [29, 16] and references therein). In the special case, when $\mathcal{M} = B(H)$ and τ is a standard trace Tr , the notion of symmetric operator space introduced in Definition 11 coincides with the notion of symmetric operator ideal [12, 13, 26, 28].

DEFINITION 12. *A linear subspace $\mathcal{I}$ in the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ is said to be a symmetric operator ideal if*

- (1) $\|S\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geq \|S\|$ for all $S \in \mathcal{I}$.
- (2) $\|S^*\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|S\|_{\mathcal{I}}$ for all $S \in \mathcal{I}$.
- (3) $\|ASB\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|A\| \|S\|_{\mathcal{I}} \|B\|$ for all $S \in \mathcal{I}$, $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$.

There exists a strong connection between symmetric function and operator spaces recently exposed in [16] (see earlier results in [26, 12, 13, 28]).

Let E be a symmetric function space on the interval $(0, 1)$ (respectively, on the semi-axis) and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a type II_1 (respectively, II_∞) von Neumann algebra. Define

$$E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \{x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \mu(x) \in E\}, \quad \|x\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} := \|\mu(x)\|_E.$$

Main results of [16] assert that $(E(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \|\cdot\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)})$ is a symmetric operator space. Similarly, if $E = E(\mathbb{N})$ is a symmetric sequence space on $\mathbb{N}$, and the algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is a type I factor with standard trace, then (see [16]) setting

$$\mathcal{E} := \{x \in \mathcal{M} : (\mu(n; x))_{n \geq 0} \in E\}, \quad \|x\|_{\mathcal{E}} := \|(\mu(n; x))_{n \geq 0}\|_E$$

yields a symmetric operator ideal. Conversely, every symmetric operator ideal $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ defines a unique symmetric sequence space $E = E(\mathbb{N})$ by setting

$$E := \{a = (a_n)_{n \geq 0} \in l_\infty : \mu(a) = (\mu(n; x))_{n \geq 0} \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{E}\}, \quad \|a\|_E := \|x\|_{\mathcal{E}}$$

Finally, a symmetric space $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is called fully symmetric if for every $a \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and every $b \in (L_1 + L_\infty)(\mathcal{M})$ with $b \prec\prec a$, we have $b \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\|b\|_E \leq \|a\|_E$. The following result now follows immediately from Theorem 9.

COROLLARY 13. *Let E be a fully symmetric function space on $(0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$. If $a, b \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are such operators that $b \geq 0$ and $ab, ba \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $b^\theta ab^{1-\theta} \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$. In particular, if a is self-adjoint, then*

$$ab \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \implies b^\theta ab^{1-\theta} \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \quad \forall \theta \in (0, 1)$$

and $\|b^\theta ab^{1-\theta}\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq \|ab\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}$.

We shall now present some variation of the result above. For simplicity of the exposition, we shall do so for fully symmetric sequence spaces E and for symmetric operator ideals $\mathcal{E}$, although all arguments below can be repeated also for general semifinite factors.

COROLLARY 14. *Fix a fully symmetric operator ideal $\mathcal{E}$ and let $a, b_0, b_1 \in B(H)$, $b_0, b_1 \geq 0$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$.*

(i) *If $ab_0, b_1a \in \mathcal{E}$, then $b_0^\theta ab_1^{1-\theta}, b_1^\theta ab_0^{1-\theta} \in \mathcal{E}$ and*

$$b_1^\theta ab_0^{1-\theta} \prec\prec \max\{\mu(ab_0), \mu(b_1a)\}.$$

In particular, $b_1^\theta ab_0^{1-\theta} \in \mathcal{E}$.

(ii) *If $b_0a, ab_1 \in \mathcal{E}$, then*

$$\mu(b_0^\theta ab_1^{1-\theta}) \oplus \mu(b_1^\theta a^* b_0^{1-\theta}) \prec\prec \mu(ab_1) \oplus \mu(b_0a).$$

In particular, if $a = a^*$ and $\theta = 1/2$, we have

$$\sigma_2(\mu(b_0^{1/2} ab_1^{1/2})) \prec\prec \mu(ab_1) \oplus \mu(b_0a).$$

Here $\sigma_2(a_0, a_1, \dots) = (a_0, a_0, a_1, a_1, \dots)$.

PROOF. (i) In $B(H \oplus H)$ consider the following operators

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obviously have $\mathbf{b} \geq 0$ and $\mu(\mathbf{ab}) = \mu(ab_0)$, $\mu(\mathbf{ba}) = \mu(b_1a)$ and therefore $\mathbf{ab}, \mathbf{ba} \in \mathcal{E}$. Applying now Theorem 9 and Corollary 13 we arrive at

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_1^\theta ab_0^{1-\theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \prec\prec \max \left\{ \mu \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ ab_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \mu \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b_1a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\},$$

which is the assertion.

(ii) Consider $\mathbf{b}$ as above and set

$$\mathbf{a} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ a^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Observe that $\mathbf{a}$ is self-adjoint and that the assumption guarantees $\mathbf{ab} \in \mathcal{E}$. Thus, by Theorem 9 we have

$$\mathbf{b}^\theta \mathbf{ab}^{1-\theta} \prec\prec \mathbf{ab},$$

that is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_0^\theta ab_1^{1-\theta} \\ b_1^\theta a^* b_0^{1-\theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \prec\prec \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ab_1 \\ a^* b_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is equivalent to the first assertion. The last assertion in (ii) is trivial. $\square$

The following lemma extends result of [5, Lemma 27] and [24, Lemma 10].

LEMMA 15. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a fully symmetric operator ideal. If $a, b_0, b_1 \in B(H)$ are such that $b_0, b_1 \geq 0$ and $b_0 a, ab_1 \in \mathcal{E}$, then*

$$\|b_0^\theta ab_1^{1-\theta}\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|b_0 a\|_{\mathcal{E}}^\theta \|ab_1\|_{\mathcal{E}}^{1-\theta}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

PROOF. Setting $F(z) = \tau(b_0^z ab_1^{1-z} c)$ and repeating the argument in Lemma 4, we obtain

$$\int_0^t \mu(s; b_0^\theta ab_1^{1-\theta}) ds \leq \left(\int_0^t \mu(s; b_0 a) ds \right)^\theta \left(\int_0^t \mu(s; ab_1) ds \right)^{1-\theta}.$$

Using elementary inequality $\alpha^\theta \beta^{1-\theta} \leq \theta \alpha + (1-\theta)\beta$, we obtain, for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \mu(s; b_0^\theta ab_1^{1-\theta}) ds &\leq \left(\int_0^t \mu(s; \lambda^{1-\theta} b_0 a) ds \right)^\theta \left(\int_0^t \mu(s; \lambda^{-\theta} ab_1) ds \right)^{1-\theta} \leq \\ &\leq \theta \lambda^{1-\theta} \int_0^t \mu(s; b_0 a) ds + (1-\theta) \lambda^{-\theta} \int_0^t \mu(s; ab_1) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since the ideal $\mathcal{E}$ is fully symmetric, it follows that

$$\|b_0^\theta ab_1^{1-\theta}\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \theta \lambda^{1-\theta} \|b_0 a\|_{\mathcal{E}} + (1-\theta) \lambda^{-\theta} \|ab_1\|_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

The assertion follows now by setting

$$\lambda = \|ab_1\|_{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \|b_0 a\|_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1}.$$

$\square$

Recall that the set

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(|x|^p) < \infty\}$$

equipped with a standard norm

$$\|x\|_p := \tau(|x|^p)^{1/p}$$

is the noncommutative L_p -space associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$. In the type I factor setting these are the usual Schatten-von Neumann ideals [12, 13, 26, 28]. The following corollary follows immediately from the above result.

COROLLARY 16. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite factor and $a, b_0, b_1 \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be self-adjoint operators such that $b_0, b_1 \geq 0$ and such that $ab_0, ab_1 \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. We have $b_0^{1/2} ab_1^{1/2} \in L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and*

$$2\|b_0^{1/2} ab_1^{1/2}\|_p^p \leq \|ab_1\|_p^p + \|b_0 a\|_p^p.$$

For detailed exposition of (generalized) Golden-Thompson inequality and for further references we refer to [28]. The following result now follows immediately from Proposition 10 and the definition of a fully symmetric space.

PROPOSITION 17. *Let E be a fully symmetric function space on $(0, \tau(\mathbf{1}))$. For any self-adjoint operators $a, b \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and every $\theta \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\|e^{\theta b} e^a e^{(1-\theta)b}\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \leq \|e^a e^b\|_{E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}.$$

We shall complete this section with a complement to [28, Theorem 8.3]. According to that theorem for self-adjoint operators a and b and for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we have $\|e^{a+b}\|_p \leq \|e^{a/2}e^be^{a/2}\|_p$ and for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ we have $\|e^{a+b}\|_p \leq \|e^ae^b\|_p$. We claim that the latter estimate holds for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Indeed, this follows from a combination of the former estimate and Proposition 17.

4. An application to traces

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a (fully) symmetric operator ideal. A linear functional φ on $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be a trace if $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(ba)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and every $b \in B(H)$. A complete characterization of symmetric operator ideals which admit a nontrivial trace has been recently given in [31].

For a compact operator $x \in B(H)$, the symbol $\Lambda(x)$ stands for the set of all sequences of eigenvalues of x counted with algebraic multiplicities and ordered by the inequality $|\lambda_{n+1}(x)| \leq |\lambda_n(x)|$. The following assertion is a particular case of Theorem 3.10.3 in [4].

THEOREM 18. *If $a, b \in B(H)$ and if a is a compact operator, then $\Lambda(ab) = \Lambda(ba)$.*

The assertion of the previous theorem fails without the assumption of compactness.

EXAMPLE 19. *There exist bounded operators $a, b \in B(H)$ such that ab is compact while ba is not.*

PROOF. Fix an infinite projection p such that $1-p$ is also an infinite projection. Thus, projections p and $1-p$ are equivalent in $B(H)$. Select a partial isometry u such that $uu^* = p$ and $u^*u = 1-p$. We have $|up|^2 = pu^* \cdot up = p(1-p)p = 0$. Hence, $up = 0$ and $pu = (uu^*)u = u(1-p) = u - up = u$. Setting $a = u$ and $b = p$, we are done. $\square$

The following fundamental result will first appear in [15], though it is essentially proved in [14].

THEOREM 20. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a symmetric operator ideal. For every $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and for every trace φ on $\mathcal{E}$, we have*

$$\varphi(a) = \varphi(\text{diag}(\lambda(a))),$$

where $\text{diag}(\lambda(a))$ is a diagonal matrix corresponding to any sequence $\lambda(a) \in \Lambda(a)$.

The following theorem is the main result of this section. It is new even in the case when φ is the standard trace Tr on $B(H)$. For the special cases of this theorem for $\theta = 1/2$, we refer to [9, 11, 19].

THEOREM 21. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a fully symmetric operator ideal and let $a, b \in B(H)$, $b \geq 0$, be such that a is compact and $ab, ba \in \mathcal{E}$. For every trace φ on $\mathcal{E}$, we have*

$$\varphi(ab) = \varphi(ba) = \varphi(b^{1-\theta}ab^\theta).$$

PROOF. By Theorem 9, we have $b^{1-\theta}ab^\theta \prec \max\{\mu(ab), \mu(ba)\}$ and, therefore, $b^{1-\theta}ab^\theta \in \mathcal{E}$. By Theorem 18, we have

$$\Lambda(ab) = \Lambda(ba) = \Lambda(b^{1-\theta}ab^\theta).$$

The assertion follows now from Theorem 20. $\square$

References

- [1] A. M. Bikchentaev, *Majorization for products of measurable operators*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. **37** (1998), no. 1, 571–576.
- [2] A. M. Bikchentaev, *On a property of L_p -spaces on semifinite von Neumann algebras*, (Russian) Mat. Zametki **64** (1998), no. 2, 185–190. English translation in Math. Notes **64** (1998), no. 1-2, 159–163.
- [3] A. M. Bikchentaev, *Block projection operator on normed solid spaces of measurable operators*, (Russian) Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. (2012), No. 2, 86–91. English translation in Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ) **56** (2012), no. 2, 75–79.
- [4] M. Birman, M. Solomjak *Spectral theory of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space*, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series). D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987.
- [5] A. L. Carey, D. S. Potapov, F. A. Sukochev, *Spectral flow is the integral of one forms on Banach manifolds of self adjoint Fredholm operators*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009), 1809–1849.
- [6] V.I. Chilin, F.A.Sukochev, *Weak convergence in non-commutative symmetric spaces*, J. Operator Theory **31** (1994), 35–65.
- [7] J. Dixmier, *Les algebres d'operateurs dans l'espace hilbertien*, 2 edition, Gauthier - Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [8] P.G. Dodds, T.K. Dodds, B. de Pagter, *Non-commutative Banach function spaces*, Math. Z. **201** (1989), 583–597.
- [9] P.G. Dodds, T.K. Dodds, B. de Pagter, *Non-commutative Köthe duality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339** (1993), 717–750.
- [10] P. Dodds, B. de Pagter, F. Sukochev *Theory of noncommutative integration*, unpublished manuscript.
- [11] T. Fack, H. Kosaki, *Generalized s -numbers of τ -measurable operators*, Pacific J. Math. **123** (1986), no. 2, 269–300.
- [12] I. Gohberg, M. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1969.
- [13] I. Gohberg, M. Krein, *Theory and applications of Volterra operators in Hilbert space*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 24 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1970.
- [14] N. Kalton, *Spectral characterization of sums of commutators. I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **504** (1998), 115–125.
- [15] N. Kalton, S. Lord, D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, *Traces on compact operators and Connes trace theorem*, preprint, 2011.
- [16] N. Kalton, F. Sukochev, *Symmetric norms and spaces of operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **621** (2008) 81–121.
- [17] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1983.
- [18] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras II*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1986.
- [19] H. Kosaki, *Arithmetic-geometric mean and related inequalities for operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **156** (1998), no. 2, 429–451.
- [20] S.G. Krein, Ju.I. Petunin, E.M. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1982).
- [21] E. Nelson, *Notes on non-commutative integration*, J. Funct. Anal. **15** (1974), 103–116.
- [22] V. Ovchinnikov, *The s -numbers of measurable operators*, Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **4** (1970) no. 3, 78–85 (Russian). English translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **4** (1970) no. 3, 236–242.
- [23] B. de Pagter, F. Sukochev, *Commutator estimates and $\mathbf{R}$ -flows in non-commutative symmetric spaces*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. **50** (2007), 293–324.
- [24] D. Potapov, F. Sukochev, *Unbounded Fredholm modules and double operator integrals*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **626** (2009), 159–185.
- [25] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. Volume 2: Fourier analysis, self-adjointness*, Elsevier, 1975.
- [26] R. Schatten, *Norm ideals of completely continuous operators*, Second printing. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band **27** Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1970.

- [27] I. Segal, *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*, Ann. Math. **57** (1953), 401–457.
- [28] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **120**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [29] F. Sukochev, V. Chilin, *Symmetric spaces over semifinite von Neumann algebras*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **313** (1990), no. 4, 811–815 (Russian). English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. **42** (1991) 97–101.
- [30] F. Sukochev, *On the A. M. Bikhchentaev conjecture*, (Russian) Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., (2012), no.6, 67–70.
- [31] F. Sukochev, D. Zanin, *Traces on symmetrically normed operator ideals*, J. Reine Angew. Math. (to appear).
- [32] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [33] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2003.
- [34] O.E. Tikhonov, *Continuity of operator functions in topologies connected with a trace on a von Neumann algebra*. (Russian) Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. (1987), no. 1, 77–79. English translation: Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ) **31** (1987), no. 1, 110–114.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY,
NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au